UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,114	06/02/2005	Jill MacDonald Boyce	PU020487	8217	
	7590 04/16/2010 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER			
THOMSON Lie P.O. Box 5312		LEE, Y YOUNG			
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/537,114		BOYCE, JILL MACDONALD				
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Y. Lee		2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DA of CFR 1.136 cation. ory period will by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may I apply and will expire SIX (6) Meause the application to become	NICATION a reply be time ONTHS from ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>15 Ma</i>	rch 2010					
•	·		action is non-final.					
	•			atters nro	secution as to the	e merits is		
∪(∪	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2010</u>			bjected to	by the Examine	r.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				• •	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.40\		w Summary lo(s)/Mail Da				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-940)			atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/537,114 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 7-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/24/09.
- 2. This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 11/24/09. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 3/15/10. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saunders et al (6,570,923).

Saunders et al, in Figure 8, discloses the same video encoder for encoding video signal data for at least one cross-fade picture disposed temporally between a fade-out start picture (Video A) and a fade-in picture (Video B), which are used as reference pictures for coding the at least one cross-fade picture as specified in claim 1 of the present invention, the encoder

comprising a reference picture weighting applicator (110) and a reference picture weighting factor unit (120) in signal communication with the reference picture weighting applicator 110 for selecting, based on one or more criterion (e.g. pixels) weighting factors (e.g. K) corresponding to each of the fade-out start picture and the fade-in end picture, respectively, for coding the at least one cross-fade picture (col. 4 Lines 40-col. 5 Lines 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 4-6, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al in view of Yoneyama et al (Fast Dissolve Operations for MPEG Video Contents) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 10 of the last office action, dated 1/21/10.

With respect to the newly added claim 26, although Saunders et al discloses that the multiple encoders may not be required, it is noted Saunders et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose only a single encoder. Yoneyama et al however, in Figure 2, teaches the concept of such well known single video encoder that is used to code the picture.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Saunders et al and Yoneyama et al before him/her, to exploit the well known single encoder operation technique as taught by Yoneyama et

al in the cross-fade picture encoding system of Saunders et al in order to simplify the structure of the video encoder.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al in view of Yoneyama et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 11 of the last office action, dated 1/21/10.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument on claim 3 that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., reference pictures pass from the controller to the memory store) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2621

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Young Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621 Application/Control Number: 10/537,114

Page 6

Art Unit: 2621